10-20-06

PATENT &

PTO/SB/21 (09-06) Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Unger the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,	no persons are required to respond to a col	lection of information unless it displays a valid OMB con	trol number.		
TRANSMITTAL	Application Number	10/787,508			
RANSMITTAL	Filing Date	February 25, 2004			
FORM	First Named Inventor	Gerald E. Bennington			
	Art Unit	2623			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial fi	Examiner Name	Scott E. Beliveau			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	UV-133 Cont. 6 Div.			
	ENCLOSURES (Check all	that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communica	tion to TC		

1012	a Number of	rayes III	This Submission		Λ	onley bocket Numb	ei 10V-13.	o Cont	. 6 DIV.	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)										
	Fee Tran	nsmittal F	orm		Drawi	ing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC	
	Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement				Petitic Provis Provis Provis Provis Chang Termin Require CD,	sing-related Papers on on to Convert to a sional Application r of Attorney, Revo ge of Corresponder inal Disclaimer est for Refund Number of CD(s) _ Landscape Table of	cation nce Address		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53										
			SIGNA	TURE	OF A	PLICANT, AT	TORNEY, C	R AG	ENT	
Firm N	lame	Fish &	Neave IP Gro	ypof F	Ropes	& Gray LLP				
Signature										
Printed	l name	Richar	d M. Feuste	fr. U	7					
Date October 18, 2006		•			Reg. No.	46,69	98			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with										
sufficient postage as Express Mail, Express Mail Label No. EV674901635US, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Express Abandonment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:										
Signature Sall 6										

Typed or printed name

Isatta B. Smith

0

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Express Mail No. EV674901635US UV-133 Cont. 6 Div.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Gerald E. Bennington et al.

Application No.: 10/787,508 Confirmation No.: 7296

Filed : February 25, 2004

For : ELECTRONIC TELEVISION PROGRAM GUIDE

SCHEDULE SYSTEM AND METHOD

Group Art Unit : 2623

Examiner : Scott E. Beliveau

New York, New York 10020 October 18, 2006

Mail Stop Express Abandonment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

NOTICE OF EXPRESS ABANDONMENT

Sir:

This Notice is responsive to the April 18, 2006

Office Action in which the Examiner, inter alia, refused to give applicants the benefit of their priority claim and issued various rejections.

In particular, the Examiner refused applicants' priority claim and rejected the pending claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph. The Examiner expressed the view that the specification is "entirely silent with respect to the usage/existence of audio and is further silent with respect to the particular display of a plurality of listings

simultaneously with the displayed video segment" (Office Action, p. 2).

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's rejection and denial of priority claim.

Applicants submit that the originally filed specification and priority Application No. 08/119,367 have the necessary support for these claimed features. For example, one skilled in the art, reading in FIG. 22, and related text at page 42, line 4 to page 43 line 3 would find support for these limitations (and find the claims enabled).

In addition, applicants also believe that the current claims are patentable over the prior art, and that the Examiner's § 102 rejection is also erroneous.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.138, applicants hereby expressly abandon the above-identified patent application.

The foregoing notwithstanding, applicants have decided to abandon this application for business reasons. For the avoidance of doubt, applicants do not acquiesce to any of the rejections made in the Office action.

Respectfully womitted,

Richard N Feustel, Jr.
Registration No. 46,698
Attorney for Applicants
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP
ROPES & GRAY LLP
Customer No. 1473
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1105

Tel.: (212) 596-9000 Fax: (212) 596-9090